

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,753	05/18/2006	Hein Wille	2001-1446	3031	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 07/16/2007 HOMPSON	007	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 2	745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			SOTELO, JESUS D	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/579,753	WILLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jesús D. Sotelo	3617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
	or Reply		ACCUTIVO) OR THIRTY (20) RAYS			
WHIO - Extending aftender - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISCUSSION OF THE MAILING	PATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s)is/are objected to.	1 1	•			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers		·			
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 May 2006</u> is/are: a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form 1 10 102.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
•	〗Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ı)☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Burea 		en received in this National Stage			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.			
	COO THE UTILISTICS COLUMN CHIEF COLOTI TOT CHIEF					
Attachme	ent(s)		·			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/06</u> .	6) Other: _				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 are in the application.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "3" has been used to designate both the float in figures 1 and 2a-d and the hose, in figure 3. Additionally, reference numeral "1" has been used to label the waterline in figures 2a-d and to label the hose in figure 1. In figure 1 the waterline is labeled "10" Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the first and second paragraphs of page 3, the "transfer hose" is referred to as "1". Thereafter the hose is referred to as "3" and so is the buoy.

On page 3, lines 18, 19, it is stated that "the water temperature at a depth of 200 m will be at least 140° C." This would make the water above its boiling point. It would appear that this is a very high temperature at that depth.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,753

Art Unit: 3617

On page 3, lines 27,28, the sentence "As is shown in Figs. 2a-2d, each time a single flexible hose 3 extends from the first floating structure 12 to the offloading buoy 3." Does not make any sense. Similarly the sentence beginning on line 28 of page 3 and ending in line 31 appears to be incomplete.

On page 4, the hose is being referred as "2" which is not in any of the drawings; reference numeral "21" on this line is not shown in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the term are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,753

Art Unit: 3617

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayau et al (W) 02/44607) in view of Lively (6,397,895).

Mayau et al disclose a method of supplying a oil from a first floating structure to an offloading structure including the steps of providing a flexible duct 1 extending between the two structures at a water depth; The system includes at least one pump. Lively discloses a similar arrangement and teaches providing the pipe with insulating material around the duct and a friction reduction layer 3. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the duct in the system of Mayau et al with an insulating layer and a friction reduction layer, generally as taught by Lively. The particular dimensions of the duct, the insulation layer and the friction reduction layer are deemed to have been obvious matters of design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Davies (3,984,059) discloses a liquid transfer system including an insulated duct located between two structures.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. 5:30 AM 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jesús D. Sotelo
Primary Examiner
Art unit 3617

KNX 03D85 ©

jds July 2, 2007